

EVALUATION CRITERIA – Knowledge Mobilization Research Grant* (15 points maximum)

	Insufficient (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Outstanding (5)
Demonstrated Need for Funding and Justification of Expenses (Budget)	The budget lacks detail or contains ineligible costs. No clear explanation of the need for funding is demonstrated. Other sources of funding are not addressed.	Budget partially provided ; justification unclear or limited. Some ineligible or unexplained costs. Limited mention of other funding sources.	Budget includes mostly well-justified and aligned with objectives ; justification provided, but lacks detail . Some line items are insufficiently explained . acknowledges limited access to other funding	Budget includes only eligible costs; justification is clear . Line items are described and reasonable . Demonstrated that other funding sources are unavailable or insufficient.	Budget is fully justified and detailed (with breakdowns), and it demonstrates a strong need and cost-effectiveness. Clearly shows that no other funding sources are available or suitable, and that this grant is essential for project success.
Contribution to Research Program and External Funding Potential	No clear link between the proposal and the applicant's research program. No evidence that it will support external funding applications.	Limited link between the proposal and the research program. Weak explanation of potential for external funding.	The proposal (or the event) is relevant to the research program. Some potential to support external funding was identified, but not fully developed.	Clear link between proposal/activity and research program. Strong rationale for how it will contribute to future external funding applications.	The Proposal/activity is highly relevant to the research program. Demonstrates clear and compelling potential to strengthen external funding applications.
Impact	No description of broader significance or influence. Impact on audiences not identified .	Limited description of broader significance. Minimal potential impact on audiences.	Reasonable? Some description of broader significance. Anticipated influence on target audiences is somewhat identified .	Clear description of significance. The anticipated influence on target audiences and the role in knowledge exchange are well described .	Strong and compelling description of significance. Demonstrates substantial, lasting, and measurable impact on audiences, communities, and research visibility.

*Please refer to the supplementary Evaluation Criteria Sheet for KMRG for further details on the scoring merit.

Knowledge Mobilization Research Grant – supplementary evaluation criteria – rank the proposal based on the following criteria (15 points maximum):

1. Demonstrated need for funding and justification of expenses (budget) (1-5p)
 - Clearly indicates whether no other funding is available; if other funding exists, provides a rationale for requesting additional support.
 - Budgets include only eligible costs and are explicitly detailed, including breakdowns where appropriate.
 - Proposed budget is reasonable, cost-effective, and directly aligned with the project objectives.
2. Contribution to Research Program and External Funding Potential (1-5p)
 - Explains how attending the event or organizing the initiative supports the applicant's research trajectory.
 - Demonstrates clear connection to future external funding applications.
 - Shows how knowledge gained or disseminated contributes to the development of the applicant's broader research program.
3. Impact (1-5p)
 - Describes the broader significance of the event or initiative, including anticipated influence on target audiences.
 - Explains impact on academic and/or non-academic communities.
 - Highlights role in enhancing knowledge exchange, research visibility, or mobilization of research outputs.