

Some references associated with recent concerns raised about radio-frequency electromagnetic fields

October 2010

We list below some research papers and also some articles written for the public. Because this subject is so important, the total volume of work that has been published is vast, and a complete reference list would involve hundreds or even thousands of entries. Therefore, only comprehensive or relatively-recent peer-reviewed research papers are listed below. Each of these contains a list of many other papers.

1) Comprehensive studies of much of the previously-published work (*metastudies*), analyzing results from 100's of published papers

Very similar conclusions were drawn from the separate metastudies published in 2001, 2007 and 2009, namely: "...no clear evidence of adverse health effects associated with RF fields, although continued research is recommended...".

Research papers (accessible through libraries):

Krewski D. et al., *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B*, **4** 1-143 (2001)

Krewski D. et al., *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B*, **10** 287-318 (2007)

Habash, R.W.Y. et al., *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B*, **12** 250-288 (2009)

A recent summary of these studies, and other work, given by the Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (publicly accessible):

http://www.oahpp.ca/resources/documents/10-09-2010_Wireless_technology_and_health_outcomes_v2.pdf

2) Research study on cellphone use:

Research paper (accessible through libraries):

Cardis, E et al., *International Journal Of Epidemiology* **39** 675-694 (2010)

A summary for the public given by the BBC (publicly accessible):

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/health/8685839.stm>

3) The *nocebo* effect - anxiety, by itself, can cause harm:

Research paper (accessible through libraries):

Rubin G. J., et al., *Bioelectromagnetics*, **31**, 1-11 (2009).

A summary of this effect written for the layperson (publicly accessible):

"Why health warnings can be bad", Stuart Blackman, FT, April 25 2009:

<http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/2/0d9499ec-2d75-11de-9eba-00144feabdc0.html#axzz1XVnn7vvm>